

NORMAL FUNCTIONS AND SPREAD OF ZERO LOCUS

MORIHIKO SAITO

ABSTRACT. If there is a topologically locally constant family of smooth algebraic varieties together with an admissible normal function on the total space, then the latter is constant on any fiber if this holds on some fiber. Combined with spreading out, it implies for instance that an irreducible component of the zero locus of an admissible normal function is defined over k if it has a k -rational point where k is an algebraically closed subfield of the complex number field with finite transcendence degree. This generalizes a result of F. Charles that was shown in case the normal function is associated with an algebraic cycle defined over k .

Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed subfield of $\mathbf{C}$ with finite transcendence degree. Let X be a smooth complex variety defined over k . Let $\mathbf{H}$ be an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure of strictly negative weights on X (see [Ka], [SZ]). Let ν be an admissible normal function of $\mathbf{H}$, which is a holomorphic section of the family of Jacobians $J(\mathbf{H})$ satisfying some good properties (see [GGK], [Sa3]). Let Z be an irreducible component of the zero locus of ν . This is algebraic as a corollary of [BP], [KNU], [Sch2] (see [BPS]).

Assume Z is not defined over k . Let K be the (minimal) field of definition of Z . This is the smallest subfield $K \subset \mathbf{C}$ containing k and such that Z is defined over K , see [Gro2], Cor. 4.8.11 (and also [We]). Let R be a finitely generated k -subalgebra of K whose field of fractions is K . Set $S := \operatorname{Spec} R \otimes_k \mathbf{C}$. We may assume that S is sufficiently small (hence it is smooth, for instance) by replacing R without changing K if necessary. The dimension of S coincides with the relative transcendence degree of K over k , and is called the *transcendence degree* of Z over k . There is a complex variety Y together with morphisms $f : Y \rightarrow S$ and $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ which are all defined over k and such that π induces an isomorphism $Y_{s_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$ where s_0 is the k -generic point of S corresponding to the inclusion $R \hookrightarrow K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We have the following (where no condition is assumed on the relation between ν and the field k):

Theorem 1. *If S is sufficiently small, then we have $\pi^{-1}(Z) = Y_{s_0}$, $\dim S \leq \operatorname{codim}_X Z$, and $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is quasi-finite, and moreover there is an resolution of singularities $Y' \rightarrow Y$ such that the induced morphism $f' : Y' \rightarrow S$ is smooth and topologically locally trivial over S , and the pull-back of the normal function ν to Y' by π' coincides with the pull-back of some normal function of $f'_*\pi'^*\mathbf{H}$ by f' , where f', π' are the compositions of $Y' \rightarrow Y$ with f, π .*

Here $f'_*\pi'^*\mathbf{H}$ is an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure (see [Sa1]). Theorem 1 means that there is a family of closed subvarieties $\{Y_s\}_{s \in S}$ of X such that $Y_{s_0} = Z$, $Y_s \cap Z = \emptyset$ ($s \neq s_0$). Moreover the quasi-finiteness of π implies that the restriction of the family over any curve C on S has no fixed point (locally on C). Theorem 1 implies the following (which was shown by F. Charles using a completely different method [Ch] if ν is associated with an algebraic cycle defined over k):

Corollary 1. *If Z contains a k -rational point, then Z is defined over k .*

In the case Z is a point (which is important for the proof of the Hodge conjecture [Sch1]) this says nothing. In fact, S is a k -Zariski-open subset of the smallest closed subvariety of X containing Z and defined over k , and π is the natural inclusion in this case.

In the situation of Theorem 1, we say that Z has the *maximal transcendence degree* over k if $\dim S = \operatorname{codim}_X Z$.

Theorem 2. *In the notation of Theorem 1, assume Z has the maximal transcendence degree over k . Then Z is smooth, and does not intersect the other irreducible components of the zero locus of ν .*

I would like to thank F. Charles, B. Kahn, and M. Levine for useful discussions about the subject of this paper. In fact, the original form of an idea was inspired by the discussions with them. This work is partially supported by Kahenhi 24540039.

In Section 1 we review some basics of admissible normal functions. In Section 2 we prove the main theorems after recalling the notion of spread out.

1. Admissible normal functions

In this section we review some basics of admissible normal functions.

1.1. Admissible normal functions. Let X be a smooth complex algebraic variety. Let $\mathbf{H}$ be an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure of strictly negative weights on X , see [Ka], [SZ]. (Here the underlying $\mathbf{Z}$ -local system is assumed torsion-free.) We have the family of Jacobians $J(\mathbf{H})$ over X . Its fiber at $x \in X$ is set-theoretically identified with

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{MHS}}^1(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{H}_x) = J(\mathbf{H}_x) \left(:= \mathbf{H}_{x, \mathbf{C}} / (F^0 \mathbf{H}_{x, \mathbf{C}} + \mathbf{H}_{x, \mathbf{Z}}) \right),$$

where $\mathbf{H}_x$ is the fiber of $\mathbf{H}$ at x , and MHS is the abelian category of graded-polarizable mixed $\mathbf{Z}$ -Hodge structures [De], see [Ca].

Let ν be an admissible normal function of $\mathbf{H}$ (see [Sa3] and also [GGK]). It is identified with

$$\nu \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{VMHS}(X)}^1(\mathbf{Z}_X, \mathbf{H}),$$

where $\operatorname{VMHS}(X)$ is the abelian category of admissible variations of mixed Hodge structure on X (assumed always graded-polarizable). We have the following short exact sequence (see e.g. [Sa2], Thm. 3.6):

$$(1.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{MHS}}^1(\mathbf{Z}, H^0(X, \mathbf{H})) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{VMHS}(X)}^1(\mathbf{Z}_X, \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{MHS}}(\mathbf{Z}, H^1(X, \mathbf{H})) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $H^i(X, \mathbf{H}) \in \operatorname{MHS}$ by [Sa1]. The image of ν in the last group of (1.1.1) is called the cohomology class of ν , and is denoted by $cl(\nu)$.

If $cl(\nu) = 0$, then ν is the pull-back of an element of the first group of (1.1.1), and is called *constant* on X .

1.2. Let $f : Y \rightarrow S$ be a smooth morphism of smooth connected complex algebraic varieties. Set $Y_s := f^{-1}(s)$ for $s \in S$. Let $\mathbf{H}$ be an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure of strictly negative weights on Y . Assume f is topologically locally trivial over S . Then $f_* \mathbf{H}$ is an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure of strictly negative weights on S with

$$f^* f_* \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{H},$$

and $\mathcal{H}^1 f_* \mathbf{H}$ is an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure on S (by using the stability of mixed Hodge modules by cohomological direct images, see [Sa1]). Moreover, there is an exact sequence

$$(1.2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{VMHS}(S)}^1(\mathbf{Z}_S, f_* \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{VMHS}(Y)}^1(\mathbf{Z}_Y, \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{VMHS}(S)}(\mathbf{Z}_S, \mathcal{H}^1 f_* \mathbf{H}).$$

The image of an admissible normal function ν of $\mathbf{H}$ to the last term is the cohomology class of ν , and is denoted by $cl(\nu)$. The exactness of (1.2.1) is proved by taking the restriction

to each fiber of f and reducing to (1.1.1). If $cl(\nu)$ vanishes, then ν is an admissible normal function of $f^*f_*\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{H}$, and coincides with the pull-back of some admissible normal function of $f_*\mathbf{H}$.

1.3. Proposition. *Let ν be an admissible normal function of $\mathbf{H}$. If the restriction of ν to the fiber Y_{s_0} of f at some $s_0 \in S$ is constant in the sense of (1.1), then the restriction of ν to any fiber Y_s is constant, and ν is the pull-back of some admissible normal function of $f_*\mathbf{H}$.*

Proof. The assumption implies that the section of $\mathcal{H}^1 f_*\mathbf{H}$ corresponding to $cl(\nu)$ vanishes at s_0 , and hence $cl(\nu) = 0$. So the assertion follows from the exact sequence (1.2.1).

2. Proof of the main theorems

In this section we prove the main theorems after recalling the notion of spread out.

2.1. Spread out. Let X be a complex algebraic variety. Let k be an algebraically closed subfield of $\mathbf{C}$ with finite transcendence degree. We say that X is defined over k , if there is a k -variety X_k endowed with an isomorphism

$$X = X_k \otimes_k \mathbf{C},$$

where $\otimes_k \mathbf{C}$ means the base change by $\text{Spec } \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$. We say that a point x of X is k -generic if there is no proper closed subvariety V of an irreducible component of X such that $x \in V$ and V is defined over k (see also [We]). We say that U is a k -Zariski open subset if its complement is a closed subvariety defined over k .

Let X be a complex algebraic variety defined over k , and Z be a closed complex algebraic subvariety of X . There is a complex smooth affine variety S together with a closed subvariety Y of $X \times S$ which are all defined over k and such that Z is isomorphic to the fiber

$$Y_{s_0} := Y \cap (X \times \{s_0\})$$

of some k -generic point s_0 of S . Let π and f respectively denote the morphisms of Y induced by the first and second projections from $X \times S$. We say that Y is a *spread* of Z over k .

More precisely, $Z \subset X$ is defined over some subfield K of $\mathbf{C}$ which is finitely generated over k . Let R be a finitely generated k -subalgebra of K whose field of fractions is K . Set $S_k := \text{Spec } R$, $S := \text{Spec } R \otimes_k \mathbf{C}$. If R is sufficiently large, then there is a closed k -subvariety

$$Y_k \hookrightarrow X_{S_k} := X_k \times_k S_k,$$

such that its base change by $\bar{\eta} : \text{Spec } \mathbf{C} \rightarrow S_k$ is identified with the natural inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow X$. Here $\bar{\eta}$ is induced by the inclusions $R \hookrightarrow K \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and induces a morphism $R \otimes_k \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defining $s_0 \in S$. We may assume S smooth by shrinking S_k if necessary. Note that there is the smallest subfield $K \subset \mathbf{C}$ such that Z is defined over K , see [Gro2], Cor. 4.8.11. This is called the (minimal) field of definition of $Z \subset X$ over k .

The minimum of the dimension of S for all the spreads of Z is called the *transcendence degree* of Z over k . This coincides with the relative transcendence degree over k of the field of definition of $Z \subset X$ over k .

For the proof of Theorems 1 and 2, we recall some facts from algebraic or analytic geometry.

2.2. Remarks. (i) Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of complex analytic spaces. Assume $x \in X$ is an isolated point of $g^{-1}g(x)$. Then there is an open neighborhood U_x of x in X which is finite over an open neighborhood U_y of $y := g(x)$ in Y . Indeed, we may assume that Y is a closed analytic subset of a polydisk Δ^m , and X is a closed analytic subset of $\Delta^n \times Y$ defined by holomorphic functions $h_1, \dots, h_r$, where $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is induced by the projection

$\Delta^{n+m} \rightarrow \Delta^m$ (by using the graph embedding), and the origins of the polydisks Δ^{n+m} and Δ^n respectively correspond to x and y . Since x is isolated in $g^{-1}(y)$, we may assume that the restriction of h_1 to $\Delta^n \times \{0\}$ is not identically zero, and then $h_1(x_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is not identically zero. Let V be the closed subset of Δ^{n+m} defined by h_1 . By the Weierstrass preparation theorem, the projection $V \rightarrow \Delta^{n+m-1}$ is a finite morphism by shrinking the polydisks if necessary. Moreover, the image of an analytic space by a finite (or more generally, projective) morphism is a closed analytic subspace (by using the direct image of the structure sheaf). So the assertion follows by induction on n . If $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is algebraically defined, this assertion also follows from Remarks (ii) and (iii) below.

(ii) For a quasi-projective morphism of algebraic varieties $g : X \rightarrow Y$, the quasi-finite locus of g is a Zariski-open subset of X , see e.g. [Gro1], Thm. 4.4.3. (Note that π in Theorem 1 is an affine morphism.) Here the quasi-finite locus means the subset consisting of $x \in X$ which is isolated in $g^{-1}g(x)$. This assertion may be shown by taking the closure of the complement of the diagonal in $X \times_Y X$, and then the intersection with the diagonal. However, it is nontrivial to show that the last intersection coincides with the non-quasi-finite locus. Since the latter is clearly contained in the intersection of the closure and the diagonal, the assertion can be reduced to the following: if x is isolated in $g^{-1}g(x)$, then this holds for points in a sufficiently small open neighborhood of x . (The last assertion may be shown by using classical topology together with Remark (i) above.)

(iii) Grothendieck's version of Zariski's main theorem says that a quasi-finite morphism of varieties is a composition of an open immersion with a finite morphism (see [Gro1], Thm. 4.4.3 for the quasi-projective case). Note that, in the situation of Theorem 2, there may be some points which are contained in the complement of the open immersion, and are sent to Z by the finite morphism. (This is the reason for which we have to take open neighborhoods of Z and Y_{s_0} in *classical* topology during the proof of Theorem 2.)

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let Y, S be as in (2.1). Let $\bar{X}$ be a smooth compactification of X defined over k . Let $\bar{Y}$ be the closure of $Y \subset X \times S$ in $\bar{X} \times S$ with $\bar{\pi}, \bar{f}$ the morphisms of $\bar{Y}$ induced by the first and second projections from $\bar{X} \times S$. These are also defined over k . Note that $\bar{\pi}^{-1}(X) = Y$ since Y is closed in $X \times S$.

Let $\rho : \bar{Y}' \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a resolution of singularities defined over k and inducing an isomorphism over the smooth part Y^{sm} of Y . We may assume that the inverse image of $D := \bar{Y} \setminus Y^{\text{sm}}$ by ρ is a divisor with simple normal crossings on $\bar{Y}'$ such that any intersections of irreducible components of $\rho^{-1}(D)$ are smooth over S by assuming S sufficiently small. Here we may also assume that $\rho^{-1}(\bar{Y} \setminus Y)$ is a divisor by using a blow-up. Then the above assertion holds also for $\rho^{-1}(\bar{Y} \setminus Y)$, since the latter is a divisor contained in $\rho^{-1}(\bar{Y} \setminus Y)$.

Set $\mathbf{H}' := \pi'^* \mathbf{H}$, and $\nu' := \pi'^* \nu$. Then $\mathcal{H}^1 f'_* \mathbf{H}'$ is an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure on S , and $cl(\nu')$ vanishes so that ν comes from an admissible normal function ν'' of $f'_* \mathbf{H}'$, see Remark (1.3). Let Σ be the zero-locus of ν'' on S . The fiber Y_s of f over any $s \in \Sigma$ coincides with $Z \subset X$ by shrinking S if necessary. In fact, $Y_s \subset X$ is an irreducible closed subvariety of the zero-locus of ν , and contains a non-empty open subset of Z if $s \in S$ is sufficiently close to s_0 , since $f'^{-1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a smooth morphism and its fibers are equidimensional.

If Σ has a positive dimension, there is a general hyperplane section H defined over k and intersecting Σ (by using the fact that any non-empty Zariski-open subset of complex projective space has a k -rational point). Then we may replace S with the smallest closed subvariety of H defined over k and containing a point of $H \cap \Sigma$. But this contradicts the minimality of $\dim S$. So Σ is a point (by shrinking S if necessary). The restriction of

π over Z is then injective since Z is contained in the zero locus of ν . This implies that $\dim Y \leq \dim X$ since Y is irreducible. So it remains to show the quasi-finiteness of π by assuming S sufficiently small.

Let V be the complement in Y of the quasi-finite locus of $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. This is a closed subvariety of $Y \subset X \times S$ defined over k , see Remark (2.2)(ii). The fibers of the projection from any irreducible component of V to X have strictly positive dimensions, since V is the non-quasi-finite locus of $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$. Let $\overline{V}$ be the closure of $V \subset X \times S$ in $\overline{X} \times S$. We have the closed immersions

$$V \hookrightarrow Y \hookrightarrow X \times S, \quad \overline{V} \hookrightarrow \overline{Y} \hookrightarrow \overline{X} \times S.$$

Let $pr_2 : \overline{X} \times S \rightarrow S$ denote the second projection. Consider

$$pr_2(\overline{V}) \subset S.$$

This is a closed subvariety of S . If $pr_2(\overline{V})$ does not contain s_0 , then the remaining assertion of Theorem 1 follows by shrinking S . So we now assume that $pr_2(\overline{V})$ contains s_0 , and will deduce a contradiction.

Let $\overline{V}_x \subset S$ denote the fiber at x of the morphism $\overline{V} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ induced by the projection $pr_1 : \overline{X} \times S \rightarrow \overline{X}$, and similarly for $V_x \subset S$ ($x \in X$). Note that $\overline{V}_x = V_x$ for $x \in X$ since V is closed in $X \times S$. By the above assumption on $pr_2(\overline{V})$, we have

$$(2.3.1) \quad s_0 \in \overline{V}_{x_0} \quad \text{for some } x_0 \in \overline{X} \setminus X.$$

Indeed, if $s_0 \in V_{x_0}$ with $x_0 \in X$, then we have $x_0 \in Y_{s_0}$, since $V \subset Y \subset X \times S$. However, $V_x = \emptyset$ for $x \in Z = Y_{s_0}$, since $\pi^{-1}(Z) = Y_{s_0}$.

Take a closed irreducible curve C' on $V \subset Y \subset X \times S$ satisfying

$$(2.3.2) \quad c'_0 := (x_0, s_0) \in \overline{C}' \subset \overline{V} \subset \overline{Y} \subset \overline{X} \times S,$$

where $\overline{C}'$ is the closure of C' in $\overline{X} \times S$. Let C and $\overline{C}$ respectively denote the images of C' and $\overline{C}'$ in X and $\overline{X}$ by the canonical morphisms. Then $x_0 \in \overline{C}$. Consider the base change of V by the canonical morphism $C' \rightarrow X$:

$$V_{C'} := C' \times_X V \subset C' \times S,$$

so that the canonical morphism $V_{C'} \rightarrow C'$ has a natural section

$$(2.3.3) \quad \iota : C' \hookrightarrow V_{C'} \subset C' \times S,$$

which is induced by the identity on C' and the canonical morphism $C' \rightarrow S$ (where the last morphism is induced by the inclusions in (2.3.2) and $pr_2 : \overline{X} \times S \rightarrow S$).

Let $V'_{C'}$ be an irreducible component of $V_{C'}$ containing the image of ι in (2.3.3). Let $\overline{V}'_{C'}$ be the closure of $V'_{C'}$ in $\overline{C}' \times S$. Then

$$(c'_0, s_0) = \bar{\iota}(c'_0) \in \bar{\iota}(\overline{C}') \subset \overline{V}'_{C'} \subset \overline{C}' \times S,$$

where $\bar{\iota} : \overline{C}' \hookrightarrow \overline{C}' \times S$ is the natural extension of ι . Let $\overline{V}'_{c'}$ denote the fiber of $\overline{V}'_{C'} \rightarrow \overline{C}'$ at $c' \in \overline{C}'$. Then

$$(2.3.4) \quad \dim \overline{V}'_{c'} \geq 1 \quad \text{for any } c'.$$

Consider the pull-back $p_X^* \nu$ of the normal function ν by the canonical morphism

$$p_X : V'_{C'} \rightarrow C' \rightarrow X.$$

This is a holomorphic section of the pull-back $p_X^* J(\mathbf{H})$, and is constant on each $V'_{c'} \subset V'_{C'}$. Consider also the pull-back $\bar{p}_S^* \nu''$ of the normal function ν'' by the canonical morphism

$$\bar{p}_S : \overline{V}'_{C'} \hookrightarrow \overline{C}' \times S \xrightarrow{pr_2} S.$$

This is a holomorphic section of the pull-back $\bar{p}_S^* J(f_* \mathbf{H}')$. Let $p_S : V_{C'}' \rightarrow S$ be the restriction of $\bar{p}_S$ to $V_{C'}'$. Then we have

$$(2.3.5) \quad p_X^* \nu = p_S^* \nu'' \quad \text{with} \quad p_S^* J(f_* \mathbf{H}') \subset p_X^* J(\mathbf{H}).$$

Indeed, this holds on Y , and hence on $V_{C'}$ and then on $V_{C'}'$. By (2.3.5) we see that $p_S^* \nu''$ is constant on each $V_{c'}' \subset V_{C'}'$. So we get

$$(2.3.6) \quad p_S^* \nu'' = p_S'^* \nu'',$$

with p_S' defined by the composition

$$p_S' : V_{C'}' \rightarrow C' \rightarrow S,$$

where the last morphism is given by the composition $C' \hookrightarrow X \times S \xrightarrow{pr_2} S$. (Indeed, these are both constant on each $V_{c'}' \subset V_{C'}'$, and coincide on the image of the section ι in (2.3.3) since $p_S \circ \iota = p_S' \circ \iota$.) From (2.3.6) we deduce

$$(2.3.7) \quad \bar{p}_S^* \nu'' = \bar{p}_S'^* \nu'',$$

where $\bar{p}_S'$ is defined by the composition $\bar{V}_{C'}' \rightarrow \bar{C}' \rightarrow S$ (with the last morphism given by $\bar{C}' \hookrightarrow \bar{X} \times S \xrightarrow{pr_2} S$). This implies that $\bar{p}_S^* \nu''$ vanishes on $\bar{V}_{c'}'$ if $c' = c'_0$. However, it is a contradiction since the restriction of $\bar{p}_S$ to each $\bar{V}_{c'}' \subset \bar{V}_{C'}'$ is the natural inclusion $\bar{V}_{c'}' \hookrightarrow S$, and $\dim \bar{V}_{c'}' \geq 1$ by (2.3.4). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

2.4. Proof of Corollary 1. Assume Z is not defined over k . Then s_0 in Theorem 1 is the image of a k -rational point. Indeed, the point of Y over a k -rational point z of Z is k -rational since it is the intersection of Y with $\{z\} \times S$ in $X \times S$. So this is a contradiction, and the assertion follows.

2.5. Proof of Theorem 2. We have $\dim X = \dim Y$ by assumption. Let π^{sm} be the restriction of π to the smooth part Y^{sm} of Y . Set $d = \dim Z$. Let W be a smooth subvariety of codimension d in X which is defined over k , and transversally intersects the smooth part Z^{sm} of Z at $z \in W \cap Z^{\text{sm}}$. Then W is locally the intersection of the zero loci of some étale local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_d$ defined over k satisfying

$$dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_d \neq 0 \quad \text{at } z,$$

and the last condition holds after restricting them to Z^{sm} . Set

$$y_i := \pi^* x_i.$$

Since π^{sm} induces the isomorphism $Y_{s_0}^{\text{sm}} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z^{\text{sm}}$ and $\pi^{-1}(Z^{\text{sm}}) = Y_{s_0}^{\text{sm}}$, we have

$$dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_d \neq 0,$$

on a k -Zariski-open neighborhood of $z' := \pi^{-1}(z)$ in Y^{sm} , since it holds at z' . (Indeed, the latter holds after restricting the y_i to $Y_{s_0}^{\text{sm}}$.) So $y_1, \dots, y_d$ define a smooth subvariety W' of the k -Zariski-open neighborhood intersecting $Y_{s_0}^{\text{sm}}$ transversally at z' . Then the induced morphism $W \rightarrow S$ by f is étale by shrinking W if necessary, since the restriction of f to Y^{sm} is smooth with $f^{-1}(s_0) = Y_{s_0}$.

If the branch locus of π^{sm} contains $Y_{s_0} \cap Y^{\text{sm}}$, then $W' \rightarrow W$ cannot be étale, and z' is contained in the branch locus of $W' \rightarrow W$. (Indeed, this follows from a calculation of the Jacobian of π^{sm} using the above étale coordinates.) However, the branch locus in W is defined over k , and the closure of its image in S is a proper subvariety containing s_0 and defined over k . This is a contradiction. So π^{sm} is étale on a dense k -Zariski-open subset U^{sm} of Y^{sm} containing a dense Zariski-open subset of $Y_{s_0}^{\text{sm}}$.

Assume there is a singular point z of Z . Then, in classical topology, there is an open neighborhood $U'_{z'}$ of z' in Y which is finite over an open neighborhood U_z of z in X , see Remark (2.2)(i). The induced morphism $\pi_z : U'_{z'} \rightarrow U_z$ is locally biholomorphic at any points of $U'_{z'} \cap U^{\text{sm}}$ by the above argument. (Here $U'_{z'} \cap U^{\text{sm}} \neq \emptyset$ since $U'_{z'} \setminus U^{\text{sm}}$ is a proper closed analytic subset of $U'_{z'}$.) Moreover π_z induces an isomorphism over $Z \cap U_z$ by Theorem 1. So the degree of π_z must be 1. However, this is a contradiction since $U'_{z'}$ is singular and U_z is smooth. Thus Z is smooth, and the first assertion is proved.

It is then easy to see that Z cannot intersect the other components of the zero locus of ν , since $\pi(Y)$ contains an open neighborhood of Z (at least in classical topology). This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [BP] Brosnan, P. and Pearlstein, G., On the algebraicity of the zero locus of an admissible normal function, arXiv:0910.0628v3.
- [BPS] Brosnan, P., Pearlstein, G. and Schnell, C., The locus of Hodge classes in an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure, arXiv:1002.4422.
- [Ca] Carlson, J., Extensions of mixed Hodge structures, in Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers 1979, Sijthoff-Noordhoff Alphen a/d Rijn, 1980, pp. 107–128.
- [Ch] Charles, F., On the zero locus of normal functions and the étale Abel-Jacobi map, Int. Math. Res. Notices 12 (2010), 2283–2304.
- [De] Deligne, P., Théorie de Hodge II, Publ. Math. IHES 40 (1971), 5–58.
- [GGK] Green, M., Griffiths, P. and Kerr, M., Néron models and limits of Abel-Jacobi mappings, Compos. Math. 146 (2010), 288–366.
- [Gro1] Grothendieck, A., Eléments de géométrie algébrique, III-1, Publ. Math. IHES 11, 1961.
- [Gro2] Grothendieck, A., Eléments de géométrie algébrique, IV-2, Publ. Math. IHES 24, 1965.
- [Ka] Kashiwara, M., A study of variation of mixed Hodge structure, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 22 (1986), 991–1024.
- [KNU] Kato, K., Nakayama, C. and Usui, S., Analyticity of the closures of some Hodge theoretic subspaces, Proc. Japan. Acad. Ser. A, Math. Sci. 87 (2011), 167–172.
- [Sa1] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge modules, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 26 (1990), 221–333.
- [Sa2] Saito, M., Extension of mixed Hodge modules, Compos. Math. 74 (1990), 209–234.
- [Sa3] Saito, M., Admissible normal functions, J. Alg. Geom. 5 (1996), 235–276.
- [Sch1] Schnell, C., Two observations about normal functions, Clay Math. Proc. 9 (2010), 75–79.
- [Sch2] Schnell, C., Complex analytic Néron models for arbitrary families of intermediate Jacobians, Inv. Math., 188 (2012), 1–81.
- [SZ] Steenbrink, J.H.M. and Zucker, S., Variation of mixed Hodge structure, I, Inv. Math. 80 (1985), 489–542.
- [We] Weil, A., Foundation of algebraic geometry, AMS 1946.

RIMS KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502 JAPAN